

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,312	01/19/2001	Yoshihisa Yamada	1163-0318P 6764		
75	590 07/05/2006	EXAMINER			
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP			RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT		
P.O. BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/764,31	2	YAMADA ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Andy S. R	ao	2621		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH I.136(a). In no eve d will apply and wi ate, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be timulated the service SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
· · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is n vance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 2-26 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4 and 9-15 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 5,-8, 16-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and one of the specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and one of the specificant may not request that any objection to the	withdrawn from the control of the co	equirement.			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CF	• •	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	D-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/764,312 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see the reasons for pre-appeal brief request for review, filed on 5/9/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 5-8 and 16-26 under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hurst, Jr.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A). Claims 18-20 refer to canceled claim 1, therefore is not antecedent basis for the "predetermined processing..." limitations contained therein. Correction is required.
- B). Claims 21-23, refer to "input image controller..." which fails to appear anywhere in the method claim of 16. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/764,312

Art Unit: 2621

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 16-17, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hurst, Jr. (US Patent 6,459,811 hereinafter referred to as "Hurst").

Hurst discloses an image coding method for coding a motion image signal, and outputting the coded data as a bit stream (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-30), said image coding device comprising: executing a predetermined processing of an input motion signal for reducing the amount of coded data when coding the motion image signal by a coding method (Hurst: column 7, lines 10-26) in which it is prescribed that the frame rate of a motion signal is set for to a constant level to be output at its decoding time (Hurst: column 7, lines 45-55: "frame pulse"), and outputs the processed motion image signal, together with the process information indicating the detail of processing; and r coding the motion image signal processed at said input image

controller into a data in conformity with said coding method on the basis of said process information (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-45), as in claim 16.

Hurst discloses an image coding device for coding a motion image signal, and outputting the coded data as a bit stream (Hurst: figure 3), said device comprising: an input image controller which, in the case where the motion signal is of the interlaced mode (Hurst: column 1, lines 45-55), equalizes two fields (Hurst: column 7, lines 10-26) at a predetermined rate (Hurst: column 7, lines 45-55: "frame pulse"), and outputs the processed motion image signal; and an image coder for coding the motion image signal processed at said input image controller into a data in conformity with method that is designed for outputting a motion image signal at a constant frame rate (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-45; column 3, lines 50-67), as in claim 17.

Regarding claim 24, Hurst discloses that the input image input controller executes the predetermined processing when the frame rate of the motion image signal is greater than a predetermined frame rate (Hurst: column 7, lines 45-60), as in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,312

Art Unit: 2621

7. Claims 5-8, and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurst, Jr., (US Patent 6,459,811 hereinafter referred to as "Hurst") in view of Suzuki et al., (US Patent 6,535,558 hereinafter referred to as "Suzuki").

Page 5

Hurst discloses an image coding device for coding a motion image signal, and outputting the coded data as a bit stream (Hurst: figure 3), said image coding device comprising: an input image controller that executes a predetermined processing of an input motion signal for reducing the amount of coded data when coding the motion image signal by a coding method (Hurst: column 7, lines 10-26) in which it is prescribed that the frame rate of a motion signal is set for to a constant level to be output at its decoding time (Hurst: column 7, lines 45-55: "frame pulse"), and outputs the processed motion image signal, together with the process information indicating the detail of processing; and an image coder for coding the motion image signal processed at said input image controller into a data in conformity with said coding method on the basis of said process information (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-45); wherein said input image controller codes the input motion signal with the interlaced method (Hurst: column 1, lines 40-67), and outputs said processed motion signal with said process information for, and said image coder performs a predictive coding and generates a coded data (Hurst: column 3, lines 50-67), as in claim 5. However, Hurst fails to disclose using excluded fields as a part of the image coding method. Suzuki discloses the use of excluded image information (Suzuki: column 15, lines 5-15: "skipped macroblocks") in order to provide more efficient temporal and spatial scalability for coded images (Suzuki: column 10, lines 15-43). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Suzuki use of excluded image information into the Hurst apparatus by using the exclusion property on Hurst's disclosed

Page 6

interlaced processing in order to have the Hurst apparatus provide for more efficient spatial and temporal scalability with coded image signals. The Hurst apparatus, now incorporating Suzuki's exclusion processor, has all of the features of claim 5.

Hurst discloses an image coding device for coding a motion image signal, and outputting the coded data as a bit stream (Hurst: figure 3), said image coding device comprising: an input image controller that executes a predetermined processing of an input motion signal for reducing the amount of coded data when coding the motion image signal by a coding method (Hurst: column 7, lines 10-26) in which it is prescribed that the frame rate of a motion signal is set for to a constant level to be output at its decoding time (Hurst: column 7, lines 45-55: "frame pulse"). and outputs the processed motion image signal, together with the process information indicating the detail of processing; and an image coder for coding the motion image signal processed at said input image controller into a data in conformity with said coding method on the basis of said process information (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-45); wherein said input image controller codes the input motion signal with the interlaced method (Hurst: column 1, lines 40-67), and outputs said processed motion signal with said process information for, and said image coder performs a predictive coding and generates a coded data (Hurst: column 3, lines 50-67), as in claim 5. However, Hurst fails to disclose using excluded fields as a part of the image coding method or having all motion vectors to be zero, as in claim 6-7. Suzuki discloses the use of excluded image information (Suzuki: column 15, lines 5-15: "skipped macroblocks") and further discloses the use of motion vectors set to zero (Suzuki: column 15, lines 16-25: "a zero vector value") in order to provide more efficient temporal and spatial scalability for coded images (Suzuki: column 10, lines 15-43). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary

Art Unit: 2621

skill in the art to incorporate the Suzuki use of excluded image information and zero vector value processing into the Hurst apparatus by using the exclusion property on Hurst's disclosed interlaced processing in order to have the Hurst apparatus provide for more efficient spatial and temporal scalability with coded image signals. The Hurst apparatus, now incorporating Suzuki's exclusion processor and zero vector value assignor, has all of the features of claim 6-7.

Hurst discloses an image coding device for coding a motion image signal, and outputting the coded data as a bit stream (Hurst: figure 3), said image coding device comprising: an input image controller that executes a predetermined processing of an input motion signal for reducing the amount of coded data when coding the motion image signal by a coding method (Hurst: column 7, lines 10-26) in which it is prescribed that the frame rate of a motion signal is set for to a constant level to be output at its decoding time (Hurst: column 7, lines 45-55: "frame pulse"), and outputs the processed motion image signal, together with the process information indicating the detail of processing; and an image coder for coding the motion image signal processed at said input image controller into a data in conformity with said coding method on the basis of said process information (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-45); wherein said input image controller codes the input motion signal with the interlaced method (Hurst: column 1, lines 40-67), and outputs said processed motion signal with said process information for, and said image coder performs a predictive coding and generates a coded data (Hurst: column 3, lines 50-67); and either the image coder observes a motion vector from two fields (Hurst: column 1, lines 50-55), namely preceding and following fields (Hurst: column 2, lines 25-45), and said image coder interpolated said determined motion vector in accordance with each of the intervals performs a predictive coding (Hurst: column 8, lines 10-20), as in claim 8. However, Hurst fails to disclose using excluded

fields as a part of the image coding method. Suzuki discloses the use of excluded image information (Suzuki: column 15, lines 5-15: "skipped macroblocks") in order to provide more efficient temporal and spatial scalability for coded images (Suzuki: column 10, lines 15-43). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Suzuki use of excluded image information into the Hurst apparatus by using the exclusion property on Hurst's disclosed interlaced processing in order to have the Hurst apparatus provide for more efficient spatial and temporal scalability with coded image signals. The Hurst apparatus, now incorporating Suzuki's exclusion processor, has all of the features of claim 8.

Hurst discloses an image coding method for coding a motion image signal, and outputting the coded data as a bit stream (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-30), said image coding device comprising: executing a predetermined processing of an input motion signal for reducing the amount of coded data when coding the motion image signal by a coding method (Hurst: column 7, lines 10-26) in which it is prescribed that the frame rate of a motion signal is set for to a constant level to be output at its decoding time (Hurst: column 7, lines 45-55: "frame pulse"), and outputs the processed motion image signal, together with the process information indicating the detail of processing; and coding the motion image signal processed at said input image controller into a data in conformity with said coding method on the basis of said process information (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-45), as in claims 22-23. However, Hurst fails to disclose using excluded fields as a part of the image coding method. Suzuki discloses the use of excluded image information (Suzuki: column 15, lines 5-15: "skipped macroblocks") in order to provide more efficient temporal and spatial scalability for coded images (Suzuki: column 10, lines 15-

Art Unit: 2621

43). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Suzuki use of excluded image information into the Hurst method by using the exclusion property on Hurst's disclosed interlaced processing in order to have the Hurst apparatus provide for more efficient spatial and temporal scalability with coded image signals. The Hurst method, now incorporating Suzuki's exclusion processing, has all of the features of claims 22-23.

Hurst discloses an image coding device for coding a motion image signal, and outputting the coded data as a bit stream (Hurst: figure 3), said device comprising: an input image controller which, in the case where the motion signal is of the interlaced mode (Hurst: column 1, lines 45-55), equalizes two fields (Hurst: column 7, lines 10-26) at a predetermined rate (Hurst: column 7, lines 45-55: "frame pulse"), and outputs the processed motion image signal; and an image coder for coding the motion image signal processed at said input image controller into a data in conformity with method that is designed for outputting a motion image signal at a constant frame rate (Hurst: column 7, lines 25-45; column 3, lines 50-67), as in claims 25-26. However, Hurst fails to disclose using excluded fields as a part of the image coding method. Suzuki discloses the use of excluded image information (Suzuki: column 15, lines 5-15: "skipped macroblocks") in order to provide more efficient temporal and spatial scalability for coded images (Suzuki: column 10, lines 15-43). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Suzuki use of excluded image information into the Hurst apparatus by using the exclusion property on Hurst's disclosed interlaced processing in order to have the Hurst apparatus provide for more efficient spatial and temporal scalability with

Application/Control Number: 09/764,312 Page 10

Art Unit: 2621

coded image signals. The Hurst apparatus, now incorporating Suzuki's exclusion processor, has

all of the features of claims 25-26.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (571)-272-7337. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)-272-7418. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andy S. Rao

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621

asr

June 28, 2006

ANDY AAO
PRIMARY EXAMINER